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1. In 1964 Maynard Thompson and J.L. Walsh [3] proved an extension of what has become widely known as Walsh's theorem. It is appropriate, on the occasion of Professor Walsh's seventy-fifth birthday, to come back to this subject. Thompson and Walsh's result reads as follows: Let $D$ be the interior of a Jordan curve $B$. Let the function $w=f(z)$ be analytic in $D$, continuous in $D+B$, and map $D$ onto some set $\Delta$ in the $w$-plane. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{p_{n}(z)\right\}$ such that $p_{n}(z)$ takes on $D+B$ only values in $\Delta$, and $p_{n}(z)$ converges uniformly to $f(z)$ in $D+B$.

This theorem also extended results of Carleman [1] and Farrell [2] on the approximation in a region $D$ of an analytic function whose modulus is bounded by $M$, by polynomials whose moduli are, too, bounded by $M$ in $D$,

The purpose of this note is to show that in some cases one can impose further restrictions on the sequence of approximating polynomials, requiring them to behave, in a certain sense, even more like the given analytic function than is the case in the above theorem of Thompson and Walsh.
2. Using the notation $f(S)=\{w \mid w=f(z), z \in S\}$, we shall prove

Theorem 1. Suppose $F(z)$ is analytic in a bounded Jordan region $D$ and continuous in the closure $\bar{D}$ of $D$. Let $D_{1}$ be a Jordan subregion of $D$ and suppose that there is a univalent function $\zeta=g(z)$ which maps $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively, onto Jordan regions $D^{*}$ and $D_{1}{ }^{*}$ which are co-starshaped, i.e., starshaped with respect to the same point $\zeta_{0}$. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{p_{k_{i}}(z)\right\}$ such that

[^0](a) $p_{k}(z)$ converges uniformly to $F(z)$ in $\bar{D}$;
(b) $p_{k}(\bar{D}) \subset F(D)$ and $p_{k}\left(\bar{D}_{1}\right) \subset F\left(D_{1}\right)$.

Remarks. (1) The hypothesis concerning $g(z)$ is trivally fulfilled if $D$ and $D_{1}$ are co-starshaped. On the other hand, it can be shown that not every pair of bounded Jordan regions $D$ and $D_{1}$, with $D_{1} \subset D$, can be mapped by a univalent function onto a pair of co-starshaped regions.
(2) The method of proof can be generalized to a finite nested sequence $D_{1} \supset D_{2} \supset \cdots \supset D_{n}$, all Jordan subregions of $D$, provided all are sharshaped with respect to a common center or else provided they can be mapped univalently onto such a co-starshaped sequence.
(3) For an infinite sequence $\left\{D_{i j_{i=1}{ }_{i=1}^{\infty}}\right.$ of co-starshaped Jordan regions, the approximating polynomials $\left\{p_{k}(z)\right\}$ can be required to satisfy $p_{k}\left(\bar{D}_{i}\right) \subset F\left(D_{i}\right)$ for $k \geqslant i$ and all $i$.
3. Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\zeta_{0}=0$. The inverse function $z=h(\zeta)$ of $\zeta=g(z)$ is continuous in $\bar{D}^{*}$, since the boundaries of $D$ and of $D^{*}$ are Jordan curves. Therefore $H(\zeta)=F(\zeta(\zeta))$ is analytic in $D^{*}$, continuous in $\bar{D}^{*}$ and maps $D^{*}$ and $D_{1}{ }^{*}$ onto $F(D)$ and $F\left(D_{1}\right)$, respectively.

Now, given any positive number $\epsilon$, there exists a number $\delta(\epsilon)>0$ such that for $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in $\bar{D},\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|<\delta(\epsilon)$ implies $\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)-F\left(z_{2}\right)\right|<\epsilon$. Also, for any positive number $\delta$, there exists a natural number $N(\delta)$ such that for all $\zeta$ in $\bar{D}^{*}, n \geqslant N(\delta)$ implies $|h(n \zeta \zeta /(n+1))-h(\zeta)|<\delta$. Hence, if we denote $n_{k}=N(\delta(1 / k))$, we may write $\mid F[h(g(z))]-F\left[h\left(n_{k} g(z) /\left(n_{k}+1\right)\right] \mid<1 / k\right.$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid F(z)-F\left[h\left(n_{k} g(z) /\left(n_{k}+1\right)\right] \mid<1 / k \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \bar{D} .\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote $H_{k}(\zeta)=H\left(n_{k} \zeta /\left(n_{k}+1\right)\right)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. Clearly, $H_{k}(\zeta)$ is defined in the closed Jordan region $\bar{D}_{k}{ }^{*}$, where $D_{k_{k}}{ }^{*}=\left\{\zeta \mid n_{k} \zeta /\left(n_{k}+1\right) \in D^{*}\right\}$, and $\bar{D}^{*} \subset D_{k}^{*}$. Thus $H_{k}\left(\bar{D}^{*}\right) \subset H_{k}\left(D_{k}{ }^{*}\right)=F(D)$ and similarly $H_{k}\left(\bar{D}_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \subset F\left(D_{1}\right)$.
Let $d_{k}$ denote the positive distance between $H_{k}\left(\bar{D}^{*}\right)$ and the boundary of $F(D)$ and let $d_{k^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}$ denote the positive distance between $H_{k_{0}}\left(\bar{D}_{1}{ }^{*}\right)$ and the boundary of $F\left(D_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. Since $H_{k}(\zeta)$ is analytic in $D^{*}$ and continuous in $\bar{D}^{*}$, and since $h(\zeta)$ is univalent in $\bar{D}^{*}$, we can apply a theorem of Walsh [4, p. 435] to obtain a polynomial $p_{k}(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{k}(\zeta)-p_{k}(h(\zeta))\right|<\min \left\{1 / k, d_{k}, d_{k}^{\prime}\right\} \quad \text { for } \quad \zeta \in \bar{D}^{*} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) follows by substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid F\left[h\left(n_{n_{k}} g(z) /\left(n_{k}+1\right)\right]-p_{k_{k}}(z) \mid<1 / k \quad \text { for } z \in \bar{D} .\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F(z)-p_{k}(z)\right|<2 / k \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \bar{D} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves part (a) of our theorem.
To verify part (b), we observe that inequality (2) implies that $p_{k}\left(h\left(\bar{D}^{*}\right)\right)=$ $p_{k}(\bar{D}) \subset F(D)$ and also $p_{k}\left(h\left(\bar{D}_{1}^{*}\right)\right)=p_{k}\left(\bar{D}_{1}\right) \subset F\left(D_{1}\right)$.
4. In the same paper [3], Thompson and Walsh have proved the following variation of their theorem quoted in the first paragraph of Section 1: Let $D$ be a bounded region whose boundary is also the boundary of an unbounded region $K$. Let $w=F(z)$ be analytic in $D$ and map it onto a set $\Delta$ of the $w$-plane. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{p_{n}(z)\right\}$ such that $p_{n}(z)$ takes on $\bar{D}$ only values in $\Delta$ and converges to $f(z)$ in $D$, uniformiy on closed subsets.

This result can be somewhat sharpened as follows:

Theorem 2. With the notations and assumptions of the previous paragraph, for any point $z_{0}$ in $D$, there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{p_{n}(z)\right\}$ such that
(a) $p_{n}(z)$ converges to $F(z)$ in $D$, uniformly on compact subsets;
(b) $p_{n}(z)$ takes on $\bar{D}$ only values in $\Delta, n=1,2, \ldots$
(c) $p_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=F\left(z_{0}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$

The proof of Thompson and Walsh (which will be modified only slightly) is based on the construction of a sequence of one-to-one mapping functions $\left\{\psi_{n}(z)\right\}$ which map a sequence of regions $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ conformally onto $D$. The sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ is such that $D \subset G_{n} \subset \bar{G}_{n} \subset G_{n-1}$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$ and such that no point of $K$ belongs to all the $G_{n}$.

We remark that the functions $\psi_{n}(z)$ can be chosen so that $\psi_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$ and $\psi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)>0$. This guarantees that the $g_{n}(z)=F\left(\psi_{n}(z)\right)$ will satisfy $g_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=F\left(z_{0}\right)$.

The function $w=g_{n}(z)$ maps $\bar{D}$ onto a compact subset $\bar{\Delta}_{n}$ of the open set $\Delta$. Let $d_{n}$ denote the positive distance between $\bar{\Delta}_{n}$ and the boundary of $\Delta$. We can find a polynomial $q_{n}(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}(z)-q_{n}(z)\right|<\min \left\{1 /(2 n), d_{n} / 2\right\} \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \bar{D} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now $p_{n}(z)=q_{n}(z)-q_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)+g_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}(z)-p_{n}(z)\right|<1 / n \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \bar{D} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the values taken by $p_{n}(z)$ in $\bar{D}$ are in $\Delta$. Also, $p_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=F\left(z_{0}\right)$.
By Carathéodory's well-known result, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{n}(z)=z$, uniformly on
compact subsets of $D$. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(\psi_{n}(z)\right)=F(z)$ and hence, by (6), $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}(z)=F(z)$; in both cases the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $D$

It is an open question whether the single interpolation condition (c) in Theorem 2 can be replaced by several such conditions without affecting the requirement that the $p_{n}(z)$ take only values in $\Delta$. (Compare Walsh [5, p. 310].)
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